

Belvedere: June 8, 2005 Jerry Butler

Corte Madera:

Fairfax:

Mill Valley:

Pat Eklund

Jeanne Barr

Sausalito:

Tiburon:

Amy Belser

Alice Fredericks

Susan Adams Hal Brown

Steve Kinsey

Cynthia Murray

Charles McGlashan

Novato:

Dick Swanson

TO: Executive Committee

Melissa Gill RE: Congestion Management Plan (CMP) Process and Regional

Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) Policy - Agenda Item 7

Lew Tremaine

Dear Commissioners:

Larkspur:

Joan Lundstrom

This staff report was reviewed at the May 26, 2005 near the end of the meeting.

The Commission requested it be carried over to the Executive Committee meeting for an opportunity for additional input. In order to conserve paper, the

56 page CMP document is not attached. Staff requests that Committee

members bring the copy in the May 26, 2005 packet. Additional copies can also

be provided by requesting from staff.

Ross: Background

Since the acceptance of the 2003 Congestion Management Plan (CMP) in

San Anselmo:

Peter Breen

Since the acceptance of the 2003 Congestion Management Plan (CMP) in

January 2004, the Board has expressed an interest in reviewing the CMP

process, the strengths and weaknesses of the 2003 CMP and exploring new

items and approaches for future CMPs. With this in mind, staff is working with

San Rafael: consultants to complete the 2005 CMP Update, has reviewed the

CMP/Transportation Plans of other Counties to determine if these documents offer any suggested directions for Marin County, collected feedback from the Commission regarding applications of the Travel Model (January 27, 2005), and held and summarized an April 2004 Community Forum on transportation issues (May 6, 2004). This work will inform a discussion with the TAM Board regarding

strengths and weaknesses of the 2003 CMP, which is attached for reference.

County of Marin: CMP Update Process

The final 2005 CMP is due to MTC in October 2005. Due to schedule time constraints, the intent of the 2005 CMP Update is to update the 2003 CMP document using 2005 traffic survey data, new land use projections, and new travel model demand analyses. To the extent possible, the 2005 CMP update will attempt to strengthen the multimodal, public input and other features of the 2003 CMP, but it is expected that the CMP schedule completion requirements will limit these objectives. Efforts, however, will include definitions of ways to improve the framework and process for subsequent development of the 2007 CMP.

Key milestone dates in the update process are as follows:

May 26, 2005

TAM Board review of the strengths and weaknesses of the 2003 CMP and review of RTIP policy.

F:\CMA\PDF\pdf\7. CMP Process and State Trans. Improvement Program Policy.doc

TAM Staff Report, Item 7 June 8, 2005 Page 2 of 3

June 23, 2005

TAM Board review of draft 2005 CIP project list.

July 28, 2005

Noticed TAM public hearing on Draft CMP. Approval of 2006 RTIP project listings

July 31, 2005

Draft CMP submitted to MTC.

September 16, 2005

Proposed RTIP project listings submitted to MTC.

September 22, 2005

TAM Board review and approval of Final Draft 2005 CMP.

October 5, 2005

Final 2005 CMP due to MTC.

January 2006

Formulation of enhanced framework for 2007 CMP and timeline to TAM.

RTIP Policy

Traditionally, the STIP has provided Marin County with approximately \$4-7 million a year in funding. As outlined in Section 7.5 ("Process and Criteria for Project Priority Ranking") of the 2003 CMP, the available balance for Marin County in the 2002 STIP was to be distributed based on the following percentages:

- City/County maintained roads 60 percent
- Local transit projects 10 percent
- Countywide US 101 corridor projects 25 percent
- Bicycle and pedestrian 5 percent

Due to changes in the California Transportation Commission (CTC)'s allocation policy resulting from the State fiscal crisis, this formula is no longer consistent with CTC policy. In February 2003, the CTC published a "STIP/SHOPP Allocation Plan Priority Criteria" that gave priority to projects according to project type. First priority is given to capacity increasing projects. Given the limited amount of funding forecasted for the 2006 STIP, this suggests that non-capacity increasing projects will have little chance of receiving allocations.

In addition, with the passage of Measure A, a funding source is available for local roads, transit projects, and school related pedestrian projects. While the funding provided only partially addresses the need, there is some funding available that was not available in prior CMP updates.

Staff Recommendation

- 1. Provide guidance regarding strengths and weaknesses in the 2003 CMP that can be addressed in the 2005 or 2007 Updates.
- 2. Review the existing TAM STIP policy and the allocation criteria used by the CTC the last time they had funds to program, and consider the following as guidance for the 2006 STIP:
 - A. Continue to advocate to the CTC that there should be flexibility in the types of projects eligible for STIP funding in the CTC programming guidelines and that project selection occur at the local level
 - B. Recognizing there is a fiscal crisis in the STIP, insure that STIP funds provided to Marin County can and will be allocated by the CTC by directing staff to develop a draft 2006 RTIP that focuses funds on Highway 101 corridor and interchange capacity increasing projects.

Respectfully Submitted,

Craig Tackabery
Executive Director

Enclosure: Not attached. 2003 CMP was provided in the May 26, 2005 TAM packet.